Innovative Approaches in English-Language Arts: How Two Teachers Teach High School Students to Use Multimodal Resources for Interpretation of *Romeo and Juliet* and *Macbeth*
Abstract

The research objective of this study is to explore how two high school English Language Arts (ELA) teachers, after receiving some training in multimodal theory and practice, develop innovative pedagogical approaches that allow students to use different modes, new media, and social contexts of meaning to interpret Romeo and Juliet and Macbeth. The study draws from the theoretical framework of the social semiotic theories of multimodality that suggests that people integrate all available tools of communication and the broader contexts of social production of meaning to make, remake, and transform meaning. Data for the study were collected over 16 weeks from multiple sources, including classroom videos, interviews, observations, notebook, and teaching and learning artifacts. The findings showed that the ELA teachers implemented a multimodal approach that prepared students to successfully draw upon their own agency, capacity, and social interests as classroom resources for analyzing and interpreting complex cultural texts such as Romeo and Juliet and Macbeth.
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High school English-language arts (ELA) teachers are teaching at exciting times of shifts in literacy and literacy practices and learning environments characterized by new media including social networking media and mobile devices that provide youths the ability to manipulate and transform texts and adapt them to new forms (New Media Consortium, 2005). The affordances of new media suggest a need to understand the different ways knowledge is represented in textbooks and digital texts (Jewitt & Kress, 2003). Indeed, the choice of modes and media has become crucial to the epistemological shaping of knowledge as reading digital texts brings new resources for textual interpretations. The shifting literacies of adolescents raise new challenges in ELA classrooms as Kress et al (2005) ask: “‘What is the best way, now, of looking at English? What methodology will do justice to understanding the subject now, in this era?’” (p. x). Kress et al (2005) raise an important issue of how teachers can make ELA meaningful and relevant to students’ lives in the contexts of social and cultural diversity in classrooms and the shifting landscape of new media – all important factors that influence and shape students’ learning.

Literacy practices are an important part of students’ everyday embodied social practices: that is, ways of communicating (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009; Gutiérrez, 2008). Youths are highly motivated and engaged in literacy practices such as sending emails, uploading stories/videos on social networking sites, surfing the Web, blogging, and studying driver’s manuals (Jenkins, Clinton, Purushotma, Robinson, & Weigel, 2006). New media literacies have helped youths to expand their creativity and personal expressions, write in multiple formats and genres, widen their audiences, and write more frequently (Purcell, Buchanan, & Friedrich, 2013). Hence, the value for how students engage with and think about literacy practices provides a compelling
argument for schools and policymakers to ask: what students’ outside school literacies can ELA teachers extend and build upon to enhance motivation, engagement, and learning?

To connect ELA instruction to new media, the developers of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) require teachers to help students develop the skills to integrate knowledge from multiple sources such as oral, visual, and new media and use these resources strategically to meet the purposes of communication, context, and task (National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, 2010). The CCSS recognizes Shakespeare’s plays as important cultural texts to be taught. However, while Shakespeare’s plays have always been multimodal (e.g., integrating words, costume, and movement), ELA instruction tends to emphasize print-based, unimodal, flat textual practice without adequate attention to what digital modalities add to their study.

Even with the potential of multimodal literacies to enhance ELA instruction, “it is rare to find common principles of digital creativity across the subjects in English school curriculum” (Sefton-Green, 2013, p. 26). Indeed, the integration of social media into the classroom remains largely unexplored (Stornaiulo, Higgs, & Hull, 2013). In fact, most high schools “are staunchly [committed to] logocentric, book centered, and essay driven” (Hull & Nelson, 2005, p. 224) texts. There is a disconnect between students’ use of new literacies outside the school and official print-based literacy curriculum (Beach, 2012). Hence, while there is increasing demand for transformed practice in ELA instruction, policies and educational standards (tests) continue to be major obstacles to the adoption of digital tools in the classroom (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009).

The research objective of this study is to examine how two high school ELA teachers, after an intervention, develop innovative strategies that value and support students’ engagements and thoughts about new literacies as assets for interpreting Romeo and Juliet and Macbeth. The study is guided by one research question: (a) How do the ELA teachers scaffold and support
students to use new media-based practices and social interests as classroom resources for multimodal interpretation of *Romeo and Juliet* and *Macbeth*?

This study is an important contribution to ELA. Students’ outside school literacies are increasingly multiplex, influential, substantive, and highly significant and have strong connections to and implications for the cognitive work and academic literacy practices that learners engage in in schools (Ajayi, in press; Beach, Appleman, Hynds & Wilhelm, 2011). For many students, traditional language-based, pencil-and-paper-bound ELA instruction is boring. If the school intends to prepare functionally literate students — who come to classes with enthusiasm, positive attitudes, and multiple literacies that they view as functional in their lives — teachers need to understand how learners employ new media literacies to interpret and create messages (Schultz & Hull, 2008). Teachers must also use the knowledge to empower students to be both critical thinkers and creative consumers/producers of multimodal messages in/out of school. Students have a better chance of succeeding in the U.S. if instruction validates the situated, authentic, and everyday transnational knowledge and literacy practices they bring into classrooms. In addition, an important role of the school is to develop students’ capacity to function in society, including preparing them to participate in “semiotic economy where identities, artifacts, texts, and tokens are exchanged in predictable and unpredictable ways” (Luke 2001, p. xiii). In the semiotic economy, the balance of agency shifts to customers and meaning makers. Hence, the school should build the students’ literacy repertoires to participate in the semiotic economy where knowledges are produced and consumed as discourses and where discourses are enacted as new ways of interacting and acting with new semiotic forms such as new genres and new styles (Fairclough, 2002).

**Models of Technology Integration in the ELA Classroom**
A common approach to ELA instruction in U.S. schools is the Initiate-Response-Evaluation (IRE) model. IRE is a teacher-led, three-part sequence where teachers ask questions, students respond, and teachers evaluate students’ responses (Mehan, 1979). However, researchers are beginning to grapple with how instruction can shift from a focus on print-based literacy to more multimodal practices. Leander (2009) describes four models of technology integration in ELA instruction: resistance, replacement, return, and remediation. With the resistance model, teachers focus on teaching conventional print literacy to prepare all students to pass high-stakes tests. In the replacement model, teachers seek to replace print-based literacy with everyday literacies of youth by focusing on the use of the Internet, computer, etc. In the return model, teachers integrate both new literacies and print-based literacy for teaching. For example, the teacher asks a student to draw an image and provide a written commentary for interpreting the meaning of the visual image.

In the remediation model, the teacher values both print and new media tools and combines them through parallel pedagogies to teach the ways that meaning and its effects are communicated in texts (Leander, 2009). For example, youths integrate images, videos, and written texts for communication and thus enhance their rhetorical skills for conveying messages (Beach, 2015). Furthermore, students transform the written text from one medium into another while adapting it to their audiences and taking advantage of the affordances of the new medium (Jenkins, 2011). For example, students read stories and create storyboard or graphic novels.

Puentedura (2011) provides another model of technology integration into teaching: The Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition (SAMR) model. The Substitution level means that students use technology to perform basic functions such as printing out worksheets. Hence, there is no functional change in teaching and learning. The Augmentation
level means that teachers teach students to use computers to perform common tasks, including taking online quizzes instead of using a pencil or paper. Here, technology makes a functional improvement in teaching and learning. The *Modification* level refers to how students use technology to accomplish specific learning tasks such as using virtual classrooms or wikis to work collaboratively in small groups. There is a functional change in teaching and learning at this level. At the *Redefinition* level, teachers use technology to create new tasks. For example, students collaborate to use wikis to create products and explain the process of doing so.

Despite several studies over the last 15 years exploring technology-supported methods, classroom practices still lack a clearly articulated pedagogical model based on the literacy practices constituting digital/media production tools that mediate response to literature and serve to go beyond a largely print-based curricula focus (Kalantzis & Cope, 2010). Indeed, limited studies have explored multimodal resources for teaching Shakespeare’s plays. Kress et al (2005) examine how teachers in the U.K. use the multimodal resources to help students interpret *Romeo and Juliet* and *Macbeth* and show that the learners can re-textualize *Macbeth* “back from the multimodality of film, image, and performance, to a written commentary” (p. 161). The present research extends these findings by exploring the ways two teachers use a multimodal approach to prepare students to account for how social and political forces influence the production and consumption of meanings and texts. Jewitt (2006) examines the teaching of Shakespeare’s plays in high schools in the U.K. and concludes that the teachers use each of the representations of the play as “a play in a book, a film, an animated cartoon and as a series of still images” (p. 55). This present study builds on these findings by providing details of training for the ELA teachers and how they enact lessons to support students’ multimodal interpretation of Shakespeare’s plays.
Other studies have examined the use of multimodal instruction in ELA classrooms. In an investigation of how teachers teach students multimodal interpretation of Shakespeare’s plays, Franks (2003) argues that “dramatization, the bodily enactment of the text in voice, action and interaction” (p.155) add value to students’ reading. In this study, I broaden the definition of multimodality to include new media-based practices that the teachers use to explore the broad range of literacy repertoires of high school students. Walsh (2010) examines how 16 educators teach students to read and produce multimodal texts and concludes that “teachers can combine students’ print-based literacy learning with digital communications technology effectively” (p. 226). This study builds on the findings by exploring the role of ELA teachers in helping students develop the capacity for multimodal interpretations of Shakespeare’s plays.

The present study builds on the current literature to examine ELA teachers’ roles in using “safe” approaches that link textual interpretation to students’ social interests and agency. Also, this study extends the existing literature by exploring how two ELA teachers assume much more agency in teaching multimodal interpretation of texts and how students increase their agentive selves by drawing upon their own repertoires of literacy practices for multimodal interpretation of Shakespeare’s plays. Finally, the study provides insights into the students’ motivations, modal preferences, and the modes they value for interpretation of the plays.

A Social Semiotic Multimodal Theory

In this section, two theories are discussed: a theory of social semiotics to multimodality and a theory of multimodal literacy pedagogy. The theory of social semiotics to multimodality will ground the analysis in articulated theories of cultural/media studies’ ethnographic perspective that attempts to capture uses and production of texts related to audience uptake within particular cultures (Ito et al, 2008). The theory of multimodal literacy pedagogy will frame explicitly the
teaching of the multimodal design of texts to help student analyze both print and digital texts. From the perspective of cultural/media studies, there is a shift in the ways youth communicate due partly to the shifting media landscape. Hence, social semiotic theories of multimodality are concerned with innovative approaches to “representation, communication and interaction which looks beyond language to investigate the multitude of ways we communicate: through images, sound and music to gestures, body posture and the use of space” (Jewitt, 2009, p. 1).

Drawing upon Cope and Kalantzis (2009) and New London Group (1996), I expand the definition of social semiotic theories to account for a multimodal approach in which ELA teachers support the pedagogic-semiotic work of students and develop effective pedagogy. In a multimodal approach, teachers teach students how texts are constructed, circulated, and used in everyday life and how assumptions and ideologies are created and sustained in the society (Bazalgette & Buckingham, 2012). The teacher helps students to account for how meaning-making is shaped by multiple factors, including the relationship between text production and audience response, how different audiences interpret and use media texts, and the broader contexts (e.g., commercial and political forces) of the social production of meaning.

From the perspectives of the social semiotic theories of multimodality, integration of all forms of communication are tools for students to make, remake, and transform meaning (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009). For example, students on social networking media use literary remixing as an important mode of composition through which they rewrite stories by adding personal details with photos, videos, and graphics to create richer, multidimensional representations of their lives. Remixing is the manipulation and integration of artifacts to create new media (Gainer & Lapp, 2010). Remixing provides youths the opportunity to engage in exploration, creativity, and writing for authentic audiences (Jenkins et al, 2006).
Social semiotic multimodal theories have epistemological and pedagogical significance for ELA teaching and learning as they recognize the role of students’ agency in the meaning-making process and seek to “create a more productive, relevant, innovative, creative and even perhaps emancipatory, pedagogy (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009, p. 175). Hence, the goal of this study is to use the social semiotic multimodal approach to analyze and ground the analysis of what I found working with ELA in this study, particularly how the teachers help their students to:

• use different analytical perspectives such as learners’ subjectivities, diversity, and linguistic and cultural repertoires as assets for transforming textual interpretations
• develop critical thinking skills to interrogate their own and others’ perspectives and interests and the sociopolitical contexts of production and circulation of texts
• engage in high levels of teamwork and collaboration as learners bring different levels of skills and expertise to complete multimodal projects
• engage in creativity, knowledge-construction, and pursuit of personal passion
• gain access to learning environments where knowledge production includes remixing media content via sampling, appropriation, transformation, and repurposing (Alvermann, 2011; Mayer, 2011; New London Group, 1996).

The Context of the Schools

Freemont High School (all names are pseudonyms) had a student population of 924 students from 9th–12th grades in 2013. The school had 682 (74%) Mexican-American students, 198 (21%) Caucasian, 22 (2%) Asian/Pacific Islander, and 20 (2%) African-American, 469 (52%) female, and 455 (48%) male. Freemont is a title 1 school as it received supplemental funding from the federal government to meet the needs of the 38% low-income students who were eligible for free/reduced lunch. In its mission statement, the school states that it is committed to
providing all students equal access to high-quality education that promotes knowledge, positive values and respect for diversity. The school is well respected in the community for the harmony between the diverse racial groups.

Teachers Smith and Hernandez were selected to participate in this study. Earlier, I sent letters to all high school principals in a county in Southern California (site of the study) to recruit teachers. The principal of Freemont High School invited me to do the study. Four teachers agreed to participate; they were further screened. The criteria that guided the final selection were that the teachers reflected diversity in terms of ethnicity and gender. They were also interested in a multimodal approach to teaching. Smith and Hernandez agreed to participate and signed a consent form. Creswell (2009) describes criteria-based sampling as a purposeful selection “that will best help the researcher understand the problem and research question” (p. 178).

Smith has an M.A degree in English and a California credential to teach ELA. Smith is a Caucasian male teacher between the age of 36 and 40. He has taught ELA in the school for 15 years. He taught 9th grade ELA and served as a team coordinator. His active involvement in professional activities distinguished him from other teachers. Also, his instruction emphasizes teaching students to use diverse resources such as visual images, graphic organizers, and prior knowledge to make meaning from texts. I observed him for this study when teaching Romeo and Juliet. Smith had 30 students – 12 males and 18 females. He had one (3.33%) African-American, five (16.67%) Caucasian, and 23 (76.66%) Mexican-American.

Hernandez is a Mexican-American female teacher. She has an M.A. degree in English and a California ELA credential. She is between 45 and 50 years old and has taught ELA for 18 years. She has previously served as chairperson of ELA department. At the time of this study, she taught in the evenings at a local university. Hernandez taught 12th grade ELA and I observed
Macbeth lessons for this study. She had 31 (17 male and 16 female) students. Her records showed that 29 (87.88%) of the students were Mexican while 4 (12.12%) were Caucasian.

An Overview of the ELA Programs

English 9 covers all genres in U.S., British, and world literature while English 12 covers British, American, and world literature (Littell, 1995–2008). English 9 is structured in thematic units covering poetry, fiction, nonfiction, short stories, and drama. The teachers taught topics such as structural features of informational texts, comprehension and analysis of grade-level-appropriate texts, and expository critique of literary texts, including Romeo and Juliet and Macbeth.

Method

This is a collaborative qualitative study where I provided Smith and Hernandez some training in multimodal theory and practice and then analyzed the resulting teaching. In this study, I take a stance of remediation as an approach to parallel pedagogy in which teachers mesh together print texts and new media to motivate and engage students in interpretation and production of texts (Leander, 2009). The approach allows students to link ELA instruction to their everyday multimodal literacies that are often repertoires of literacy practices they bring from home/community to the school (Schultz & Hull, 2008).

Modeling Critical Interpretation of Texts

For this research, I suggested a training in multimodal theory and practice and the teachers accepted. We met one hour after school (Monday – Thursday) for three weeks. To develop the skills to teach multimodal literacies, teachers must develop an appreciation of the power of images to convey meaning (Beach, 2015; Selfe, 2009). I modeled how the teachers could teach students to be active readers who develop the knowledge to examine, interrogate, and critique
multimodal texts. The teachers were provided a copy of *A pedagogy for liberation: Dialogues on transforming education* (Shor & Freire, 1987) to read and discuss an approach to critical literacy.

We discussed key components of critical reading such as texts (a) should relate to students’ lives and life-world (the world as directly experienced in the subjectivity of everyday life by individuals) and (b) are constructions which reflect the experiences, perspectives, beliefs, and values of authors (Shor & Freire, 1987). We also had a discussion of the meta-language (analytical grammar) for interpreting and composing multimodal texts (Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006). I defined terms including audiences/viewers and media/modes and provided a Critical Response Protocol for the teachers to engage students in multimodal analysis (see Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of Analysis</th>
<th>Definitions and Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Audience/Viewer       | Definition: The audiences/viewers are the potential readers/viewers that the message of a text is meant for.  
1. What emotions, feelings, or thoughts do the images or multimodal texts create in the audience?  
2. What is the race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, income or religion of the readers?  
3. Which audience does the visual image or multimodal text is appealing to?  
4. What audience does the visual image alienate?  
5. What background knowledge is expected of audiences who will read this text? |
| Message               | Definition: The message is the central idea(s) the author conveys to the audience.  
1. What message does the title of the image or multimodal text communicate to readers?  
2. What meaning does the image or multimodal text convey to readers?  
3. How does the author use elements such as size, layout, angle, shape, icon, links, and hyperlinks to contribute to the construction of meaning in the image or text?  
4. What prior knowledge do readers have to draw upon to interpret the message of the image?  
5. Is the story fair to my gender, race, ethnicity and socioeconomic background? |
### Media/Modes

**Definition:** Media are the platform in which meaning is realized: video, image, text, audio, film, painting, website, sculpture, graph, textbook, billboard, poster, song, TV, CD-ROMs, DVD, speech, etc.

1. Which medium or mode is most apt for the meaning/message I want to communicate?
2. What medium/mode is used in the text?
3. Which medium/mode most appeals to my audience?
4. How I am positioning my audience by using a particular medium or mode?
5. How do the media influence your analysis and understanding of the message?
6. What writing style is used? What is the effect?

### Design/Composition

**Definition:** Design refers to the use of different modes and/or media to recontextualize a body of knowledge for a particular audience or readers.

1. How does the design of the multimodal text reflect my prospective on the intended message?
2. What are available resources to convey my message to my intended readers or viewers?
3. What design will be most suitable for my audiences or readers?
4. What will be the impact of design on the message in the visual image or multimodal text?
5. What catches your attention first in the visual image or multimodal text? Why?

### Visual Symbols/Culture

**Definition:** Visual symbols are representations of ideas that people see and recognize in a given social/cultural community (e.g., “red” signifies danger and “green” represents freshness or lack of experience in some cultures).

1. What is the meaning of the visual symbol in the visual image?
2. What is the motif for using the visual symbol in visual image or multimodal text?
3. How do visual symbols contribute to meaning in the text?
4. How does the visual symbol contribute to the understanding of the reader?
5. What does the visual symbol represent to you?
6. How does the visual image represent social and cultural context of the author?

---

**Figure 1: Critical Response Protocol for Analyzing/Composing Images and Multimodal Texts**

For practice, the teachers read a copy of a Magazine ad: “got milk”, with an image of musician Sheryl Crow and used the Critical Response Protocol to interpret the story. We discussed how modal resources such as the bedroom setting, ripped jeans, slender body build, Brazilian Blowout hairstyle, guitar case, glass of milk, posture, physical appearance, the logo
“got milk”, written text “Rock hard”, and spatiality contributed to the message in the ad. Also, the teachers responded to the following prompts: (a) What values are expressed in the ad? (b) What techniques are used to persuade readers? (c) What is assumed and what is omitted in the ad? Finally, the teachers created a counter ad based on their experience and value.

**Modeling the Use of YouTube Videos**

We watched YouTube videos of modern versions of Shakespeare’s plays. We discussed the techniques the producers used to re-imagine and reinterpret the plays to make them culturally and aesthetically appealing. They watched *Julius Caesar School Project — Modern Version — YouTube* (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ULYRHfUIWZU) and *Romeo and Juliet Modern Version — YouTube* (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1DCktMMzV3Q). The teachers identified modal resources such as modern English, rock songs, fashion, and media in the interpretation of the plays. The teachers responded to these prompts: (a) How do new media influence the reinterpretation of the plays? (b) How does the producer of the video make the play relevant and meaningful to youth viewers? Furthermore, we analyzed and reflected on Lamb (2007): “Dr. Mashup, or why educator should learn to stop worrying and love the remix” (https://net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/erm0740.pdf).

**Modeling the Use of Web-Based Digital tools**

An important affordance of new media is the web-based digital tools including Glogster (http://edu.glogster.com/?ref=com) and Webspiration (http://www.mywebspiration.com/) which allow teachers to creatively blend and manipulate videos, audios, visuals, and print texts for creating multimodal posters (Castek, Dalton, & Grisham, 2012). We installed the apps in the class computers and the teachers used them to combine graphic, written text, video, audio, and
images to create posters. Also, I provided them a hyperlink to read *Resources for Teachers* (Beach, n. d. at [http://tinyurl.com/yhub7y8](http://tinyurl.com/yhub7y8)).

**Data Collection**

Data for the study were collected through multiple sources over 16 weeks. The approach allowed for triangulation of findings (Creswell, 2009). The data sources included:

(a) *Direct Observation*: During the first three weeks of January 2012, my assistant and I attended the teachers’ classes on Tuesdays to familiarize ourselves with the students. Smith and Hernandez taught first and third periods, respectively. Each period lasted 51 minutes.

In February through April, each teacher was observed 11 times. The assistant (who had been trained) and I combined note-taking with a qualitative coding process for observation (Alvermann, O’Brien & Dillon, 1990). We observed activities such as: (i) sketches of teaching/learning events, (ii) comments of the students/teachers, and (iii) summary of students’ collaborative group work. Using the observation categories, we wrote comments to provide narrative descriptions of the teachers and students’ use of multimodality. The observations allowed us to capture the multimodal approach that drove the data collection and analysis.

(b) *Classroom Videotaping*: During the 11th to 14th week, eight lessons (four per teacher) were videotaped to capture the audio/visual aspects of the instruction that direct observation could not. The videotaping is important for this study because multimodal analysis requires multimodal data (Norris, 2004). However, in classroom-based research, researchers face ethical issues regarding anonymity of participants as videos display the identity of teachers and students. To gain informed consent, I provided the teachers a Video Recording Release Consent Form, which students brought back with their parents’ signatures in the first week of this study. The
assistant and I sat at the back of the class during the instruction to minimize any obtrusion. In all, 408 minutes of instruction were videotaped.

(c) Interviews: The teachers and some students participated in interviews during week 15 and 16. The framing of the interview questions was guided by theoretical framework that ELA teachers should develop innovative pedagogical approaches that draw upon students’ agency (Ajayi, in press; Mayer, 2011). The teachers participated in a tape-recorded, one-on-one, and face-to-face semi-structured focused interview for approximately 30 minutes (see Appendix A). The interviews took place in the teachers’ classroom during lunchtime when students were not present.

Twenty students responded to semi-structured interview questions (see Appendix B). The students were selected because they were available for a follow-up interview and agreed to submit their class work for analysis. The participants consisted of eight females and seven males. The students represented 31.74% of the 63 students in the two classes. Hence, the interview participants were representative of the two classes. The students were interviewed in small groups during lunchtime in the teachers’ classrooms. The small group size and setting (without teachers) allowed research participants to feel at ease to express their viewpoints (Creswell, 2009). The interviews were later transcribed word for word by the research assistant.

(d) Research notebook: I recorded my reflexive thoughts and comments about the data I was collecting during direct observations, videotaping sessions, and interviews in the research notebook. I wrote comments, including how the students brought multimodal knowledge to bear on interpretation of the plays and how their interpretations sometimes reflected the disconnect between official construct of school literacy and students’ out-of-school literacies (Beach, 2015).
(e) **Teaching/learning artifacts**: The teachers provided students’ multimodal posters and modern texts (modern texts are translations of *Romeo and Juliet* and *Macbeth* from Elizabethan English into contemporary – plain – English). The artifacts were analyzed to understand how the students engage in multimodal interpretations of the plays.

**Transcription of Classroom Videos**

I uploaded the videos to a desktop and viewed them several times before transcribing the data. The transcription was guided by the methodological framework for multimodal interactional analysis, an analytic method concerned with describing “what individuals express and react to in specific situations, in which the ongoing interaction is always co-constructed” (Norris, 2006, p. 4). Multimodal transcription involves translating the audio and visual aspects into a printable format. To transcribe the videos, I used the images to describe specific events. Each mode in a context gave some insights into the interactional meaning.

The steps in the video transcription include, first, one interaction was uploaded as one clip and each clip was logged. Second, I transcribed the spoken utterances of the students in order to organize the classroom discourse. To capture a specific event, I took a series of images that represented the event in the video and pasted it on a Word document. Descriptive notes on each event such as what the students said and multimodal resources they used were overlaid on the transcript. The video transcripts and observation records provided an analytic description of the classroom data. Multimodal transcription has the potential to reshape the presentation of academic discourse and accounts of social interaction (Bezemer & Mavers, 2011).

**Data Analysis**

Data were analyzed using a social semiotic multimodal approach, an analytical method which extends the social interpretation of language and meaning to the multiplicity of modes and media
and situate textual interpretation within the broader social production of meaning (Ajayi, 2009, 2012; Bazalgette & Buckingham, 2012). The approach emphasizes the need for data analysts to pay attention to how students convey messages in print and digital texts, and how they use multimodal ensembles and their understandings of social contexts to interpret and construct texts (Leander, 2009).

To analyze how the teachers taught new media activities and how the students engaged with them, I took a series of screen pictures that represented specific events in the video and pasted them into a Word document. Descriptive notes of each event were then overlaid on the transcript, including the students’ dialogues, interactions, and use of multimodal resources. I transcribed the students’ spoken utterances to organize the classroom discourse with particular attention to how they contested or interrogated meanings, drew an image that depicted new meanings, or situated the meaning of the plays in modern contexts to transform meaning.

The research assistant and I read carefully several times the visual images with particular attention to how they related to the students’ social lives. In analyzing each image, we focused on students’ choice, interest, agency, and principles guiding their textual interpretation (Bezemer & Mavers, 2011). Also, we independently read all the transcripts line-by-line several times to identify themes pertinent to the research questions. From the phrases, sentences and themes, we induced categories (conceptual elements arising from recurring patterns across data) and their properties (smaller, definable properties of categories). We then coded and categorized the data according to the teachers’ teaching activities: YouTube, Glogster, and Webspiration. The approach allowed us to code the activities into categories with names that simultaneously summarized and accounted for each piece of the data (Creswell, 2009).

To increase the validity of the study, findings from one source were triangulated with
multiple sources such as the direct observation, classroom video, and researcher notebook. When researchers corroborate evidence from multiple and different sources to support a theme, they “are triangulating information and providing validity to their findings” (Creswell, 2013, p. 251). For reliability, we used intercoder agreement which allows analysts to use multiple coders to analyze transcript data. The researcher assistant and I established an 80% agreement of coding between themes as recommended by Creswell (2013). We independently read the transcripts several times and coded the three different kinds of activities used by the teachers: YouTube, Glogster, and Webspiration. After coding each activity (theme), we met in my office to examine and compare the texts that were coded. Furthermore, the coded data were sent to the teachers for vetting and they agreed with the themes and the narrative descriptions.

**Findings**

The research objective of this study is to examine how two teachers employ innovative approaches that draw upon students’ social and cultural interests as classroom resources for multimodal interpretations of *Romeo and Juliet* and *Macbeth*. Vignettes from classrooms and quotations from interviews are provided to support specific findings.

**YouTube Videos**

An important role of ELA teachers is to use innovative approaches to connect instruction to students’ everyday literacy practices. Fundamental to the design of innovative instruction is that teachers use new media to link students’ learning to their social interests.

**Smith**

Smith asked his class to watch YouTube videos of modern versions of Act 1, Scene 1 of *Romeo and Juliet* at [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RYbzkEGG13I](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RYbzkEGG13I). The students also watched another clip of the play at [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_F5lDqavwQY](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_F5lDqavwQY). The teacher asked
the students to pay attention to the plot structure of the online version of the play. As the students watched the video clips, they hyperlinked to other websites to watch related videos and read texts and visual images. The students discussed in small groups and jotted down ideas from the videos. In the 10th week, Smith assigned students to re-write the plot structure of *Macbeth*. Smith defined plot structure as how the sequence of major events unfolded so that all the events related to one another in a specific pattern to present the theme of a play. Smith provided the following prompts to guide students’ work: (a) What message does the plot convey to you? (b) How do new media influence your reinterpretation of the play? (c) Rewrite the plot to provide a modern interpretation of *Macbeth*. The teacher asked the students to post their work to their weblog accounts.

*Americanizing Romeo and Juliet*

Natasha, an African American student, described herself as an avid user of new media. Natasha liked reading online materials such as YouTube videos. The teacher noted that Natasha was “very smart” and “doing well in English-language arts.” She had a Google Nexus 5 phone with which she took photos and videos and surfed the Internet and websites. In her weblog posting, Natasha made *Romeo and Juliet* accessible to her classmates by modernizing and connecting the plot structure to contemporary American history by writing a plot structure with literary elements such as exposition, conflict, climax, and conflict resolution. She introduced readers to two families (one white and one African-American) who were rich and powerful in Los Angeles (exposition). Deandra (an African American and son of the leader of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People – NAACP) fell in love with Jessica, a daughter of the leader of the Aryan Nations, a white supremacist neo-Nazi group (conflict). Because Deandra and Jessica knew their families would not approve their marriage, they had a secret wedding in Las
Vegas (complication). CNN showed the wedding on the evening news. A street riot broke out in Los Angeles (climax). The government called in the National Guard to restore law and order. The two families decided to end hostilities (resolution).

**Literary Creativity**

Smith suggested that YouTube afforded him the opportunity to use hands-on approaches that helped students to learn through investigating and discovering. Natasha stated the websites allowed her to recreate *Romeo and Juliet* in social and historical context of the American society. As a member of Generation X that Pew Research\(^1\) describes as more liberal (than older generations) on social issues, including greater acceptance of interracial dating and homosexuality, Natasha based her plot on a biracial marriage. She noted that the websites allowed her to read print texts, images, and photos that were related to *Romeo and Juliet*. She argued that “the different websites broaden my understanding of plot structure in the play.” Natasha argued that the websites allow her to “combine ideas from different websites to create the plot structure.” For example, her story was set in Los Angeles, the characters were White and African-American, and the story ended in racial harmony. Natasha strategically used new media to re-create *Romeo and Juliet* by bringing it into the American culture.

**Hernandez**

Hernandez instructed her students to log onto to the website and read *Macbeth* at [https://www.google.com/#q=modern+version+of+macbeth](https://www.google.com/#q=modern+version+of+macbeth). The students hyperlinked to related websites to read about *Macbeth*. The students noted that the online versions of the play were accessible because they were written in modern English and reflected modern culture. The

teacher assigned the following prompts: (a) How do websites in this study enhance your interpretation of *Macbeth*? (b) In what ways do relating *Macbeth* to modern society helps you to interpret the play? (c) Rewrite the plot structure of *Macbeth* to show a modern interpretation.

**Modernizing Macbeth**

Esperanza, a Mexican-American, described herself as a “tech geek.” She spent her after-school hours surfing websites and chatting online. Esperanza had an Apple iPhone 5s and iPad which she carried with her all the time. She had Facebook and Twister accounts. She surf the web and read her email, Facebook and Twitter accounts between classes. Esperanza rewrote the play and shared her work with the class. In her narration of the plot development, she used cultural materials and business accessories to suggest the social status of the Macbeths.

Esperanza wrote: “Macbeth was the Vice President of a multinational company – Casa Blanca Oil. According to Esperanza, Macbeth was rich, drove Lamborghini, dressed in Gucci-made business suits, and carried a laptop, iPhone, and iPad [exposition]. Esperanza connected Lady Macbeth to modern fashion and social status of chief executive officers in the U.S. companies: “Lady Macbeth wore a Versace-designed gown, Dior wrist watch, a Fendi handbag, and 6-inches Stuart Weitzman diamond dream stiletto, and Cartier jewelries.” Esperanza linked her interpretation to pop culture. She wrote: “Macbeth consulted three psychic readers on her iPhone, who forecasted that the company president (Duncan) would die in a plane crash and that Macbeth would become the president of the oil company. Lady Macbeth persuaded Macbeth to frame Duncan by implicating him in a ponzi scheme [conflict].” Situating the plot structure in the American legal system, Esperanza wrote: “Duncan was tried and convicted for fraudulent investment by the Office of the Attorney General of the U.S. [complication].” She concluded by
saying that the shareholders found out that Macbeth framed the president in order to take his position (climax) and that Macbeth was tried and sentenced to 150 years (resolution).

**Popular Cultural Materials**

Hernandez, during a follow-up interview, noted that the YouTube videos provided opportunities to use innovative approaches to teach her students to experiment with *Macbeth*. She explained that websites allowed the students to expand their understanding of the play. Esperanza stated: “as I read across different websites I acquire more knowledge about *Macbeth.*” The student noted that through reading multiple websites she learned how to use new approaches for writing the plot structure of the play. To Americanize the play, the student linked the interpretation to popular cultural materials such as Lamborghini to symbolize the social status of Macbeths. She selected and organized events such as consultation with psychic readers to make the plot structure come to live in an American classroom.

Esperanza could easily relate to the social and cultural meanings of “psychic readers” and “forecasts” rather than “witches” and “prophecies” in *Macbeth*. Esperanza transported the plot structure of *Macbeth* into the 21st American society and made them relevant and appealing to a high school audience by providing dystopian representations of the ills of the corporate world such as cultural conflicts, greed, inordinate ambition, and ruthlessness. The analysis shows that ELA teachers can help students provide more culturally relevant interpretations of *Macbeth* by connecting instruction to contemporary forms of symbolic manipulation of new media tools.

**Glogster**

Glogster allows teachers to tap into the wide-ranging new media literacies that students acquire through outside school to creatively manipulate and manipulate ideas from multiple sources.

**Smith**
Smith defined multimodal composition as when an author integrated modal resources in a text. He introduced the class to Glogster (http://edu.glogster.com/?ref=com). Using a handout from the Internet (Glogster poster tutorial), Smith explained and modeled how the students could use the web app to create multimodal posters. Smith assigned the students to read Romeo and Juliet, watch video clips, and “read” visual images on websites. For a culminating task, the teacher asked students to: (a) choose specific web-based tools for your work, (b) design a poster to represent a multimodal interpretation of a scene in Romeo and Juliet, (c) how does the design impact your interpretation, and (d) how does the web-based tools influence your interpretation?

**A Multimodal Poster**

Group A worked on how to create a multimodal poster of Act IV, Scene 1 (where Friar Lawrence presented a plan to give Juliet a distilling liquor). While some students interpreted Friar Lawrence’s plan as too hasty and irrational, others saw Juliet as courageous and brave.
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**Figure 2: Friar Lawrence Plan: is it hasty or shows Juliet as Courageous?**
The group explained that Figure 2 started the events that led to a reconciliation between the feuding families in the play. Silvia, Mexican-American, belongs to the App Generation; that is, a new generation of young people who have moved the issues of self-representation, creativity, social interaction, and identity construction into the world of digital apps (Gardner & Davis, 2013). Silvia is an ardent user of apps; she downloaded many apps into her iPhone and laptop, including iTune, Facebook, YouTube, and flickr for socialization. The student stated that Juliet’s action represented the clash between the young protagonists who acted bravely and the old tradition with the civil desire for social order and status quo. The student noted that Glogster allowed students to select a scene, brainstorm and generate ideas to explain the scene, compose a written text, log on to Glogster, click on draw tab to draw, click on text tab to add title, click on text tab to add a written text, and click on save or publish tab to save or publish their posters. Rather than only describing her interpretation, Silvia used Glogster to add an image to the verbal text to illustrate her interpretation to her classmates.

Remix

Silvia explained that her group used Glogster to draw Friar Lawrence as an elderly priest in a long robe. A look at Figure 2 indicated that the students “remixed” ideas from multiple sources, including the original texts, modern texts, video clips, audio books, group discussions, and cultural knowledge. Figure 2 showed Friar Lawrence with a long, white beard like many orthodox Catholic priests, a view of priests the students might have developed from home and TV, as the community members are mostly Catholics. They also drew an image of Juliet with a long, brunette hairstyle and related her to their own sense of fashion. Silvia noted that she consciously blended her personal narratives with other materials from other sources to draw Figure 2 and create a link between her Mexican-American background and Romeo and Juliet.
Hernandez taught her students to use Glogster to create multimodal posters. The teacher defined “characterization” as details about a character’s traits that an author provides, including his/her appearance and personality that make the character come alive for readers. The teacher noted that playwrights usually indicated the weaknesses in a character to make him/her more humane so that the audience can relate or identify with the character. The teacher assigned the students to (a) decide on an interpretation that will appeal to an audience, (b) select the most apt medium or mode for the interpretation, and (c) represent the character of Macbeth in a poster.

Blending Words with Images

The students worked in groups to design multimodal posters of the character of Macbeth. The students identified particular scenes, conflicts, actions, thoughts, and motivations that contributed to the development of Macbeth’s character. They wrote the character traits they wanted to reflect in the multimodal poster: brave soldier, powerful, ambition, bravery, and great physical appearance. The students decided on the combination of modes that would be apt for the poster. The group used Glogster to write a text. The students upload a visual image (Figure 3) to illustrate their interpretation so as to make it clear to their classmates.
During an interview, the students explained that Glogster allowed them to integrate written texts and images to present their interpretation of Macbeth. Julio, an ardent reader of action hero movies, said he liked to read entertainments which portray action and adventure. The student argued that action heroes usually show toughness, strength, and bravery. He noted that such people are always very likeable to the audience. The blend of language and visual image in Figure 3 shows that the students have a complex interpretation of the character of Macbeth. The student connected Macbeth to his ethnic identity to draw a Mexican-American-looking man (rather than Caucasian, the racial identity of Shakespeare’s Macbeth).

Figure 3 showed Macbeth’s masculinity, broad shoulders, big biceps, big muscle, and tattoos (physical characteristics that were not present in the video clips of the play) to suggest physical power and ruggedness associated with heroic characters in Hollywood action hero movies. The students embedded such physical character traits to create an inspirational figure like Hollywood’s action characters. The student connected his representation to Hollywood action characters which fulfill cultural functions by affirming and maintaining the conventions of
social construction of identity, fashion, gender, and economic/social status in American society. Using Glogster to create multimodal posters shows that the literary interpretation of texts can ensure that high school students have access to the literacy knowledge and skills required to make considered decisions in designing meaning. Hence, ELA teachers can encourage students to draw upon their multimodal literacies that allow them to integrate different communication modes to make meaning, separately and together.

**Webspiration**

The affordances of Webspiration allow teachers and students to combine language and apps to interpret Shakespeare plays. Webspiration provides multimedia opportunities for students to analyze, interpret, and extend discussions of literary texts. The web app allows students to organize their interpretations and provide visual illustration of written commentaries.

**Hernandez**

Hernandez introduced his students to Webspiration. Smith created a graphic organizer template that the students could modify to suit what they were doing. The teacher explained that students must integrate at least two modes such as visual images, written texts, video, and/or hyperlink. The teacher assigned the students to (a) design a story web to explain *Macbeth*, (b) present one or two key moments in the play, and (c) recreate the play in modern times and use contemporary language.

**Multimodal Story Web**

Student worked in small groups to create a story web. They brainstormed, identified and summarizes two key moments in the play. They discussed how to represent *Macbeth* in a modern setting, identified important characters to use in their narrative, and the best way to organize their story. The students shared a multimodal story web (Figure 4) with the class.
Figure 4: A Multimodal Story Web of Two Key Moments in *Macbeth*

A group of students drew Figure 4 to show a summary of two important events in *Macbeth*. The image in the first box showed three women wearing blonde, black or red dress, and red lipstick while one woman had a hat and a Polaroid glasses. In words below the image, the students provided a verbal explanation: the moment the three psychic readers made their prediction. In the second box on top, the students explained the second important event. Below the box, the students drew an image of two men as a visual illustration of the moment Macbeth and Banquo hatched a plan to implicate Duncan in a ponzi scheme.

Michelle (Caucasian) presented her group’s work to the class. She had an iPhone that she carried with her all the time. She had downloaded and installed multiple apps into her iPhone including WhatsApp, Instagram, and Twitter. Michelle explained that she enjoyed using the Webspiration because it had visual thinking and learning tools that helped her to be creative and imaginative in summarizing the important events in *Macbeth*. She also argued that the web app allowed her to combine language and visuals to make her presentation appealing to her
classmates. Michelle further noted: “I am happy that I get to use apps. I can bring what I like to do in my free time to the classroom for learning.”

**Discussion**

The results show that the ELA teachers use innovative approaches to create possibilities for the students to assume much more agency in using multimodal resources for interpretation of the plays. The two ELA teachers become designers of innovative approaches that draw on a variety of digitally accessible information to link multimodal and media interpretation to the students’ social interests, capabilities and proclivities. Hence, a crucial role of ELA teachers is to “function as designers of precisely tailored learning environments, each shaping the learner’s path to an epistemological proximity to the curriculum of the school through the teacher-designer’s understanding of the learner’s principles” (Kress, 2013, p. 126).

ELA teachers can create opportunities for students to access texts using YouTube videos and distributed forms of knowledge. YouTube videos have the potential to show students how to look at edgy, contemporary social approaches to teaching and representing Shakespeare’s plays. For example, in their modern adaptation of the plays, the participants move the characters from supernatural world of the medieval period to the 21st century. The students modernize and Americanize the plays to reflect the features of sociopolitical landscape of the U.S.: African-American, Mexican-American, NAACP, CNN, biracialism, psychic readers, designer wears, and vice president. The modern adaptation transports the central theme, plot, and characters in *Macbeth* into the 21st American society and makes them appealing to a youthful audience by providing dystopian representations of the ills of the corporate world such as the cultural conflicts, including greed, inordinate ambition, and ruthlessness.
By using a culturally significant tool such as YouTube, the teachers empower the students to incorporate new elements into Shakespeare’s plays for repurposing and making remixes aesthetically appealing to their classmates. New media appeal to youth because they encourage experimentations, identities, and textual interpretations (Alvermann, 2011; Mayer, 2011). Learning from online videos requires the students to acquire the skills to choose appropriate search engines, locate relevant sites, hyperlink to relevant sites, and synthesize information from multiple sources to produce new knowledge. Hence, it is important that teachers teach students how to “read” online cultural texts or videos and understand the sociocultural and political contexts in which they are produced. Indeed, students’ knowledge and abilities to network are now a core social skill and cultural competency that ELA teachers can leverage for student learning (Jenkins et al, 2006; Mayer, 2011).

A crucial aspect of the ELA teachers’ innovative approaches is the recognition of literary tools that new media such as apps afford students. Glogster offers important literary practices such as multimodality, publishing, and knowledge-sharing with classmates. Hence, ELA teachers “need to pay attention to, and come to value, the multiple ways in which students compose and communicate meaning, the exciting hybrid, multimodal texts they create – in both nondigital and digital environments – to meet their own needs in a changing world” (Selfe, 2009, p. 642). The students used Glogster to provide written comments and upload visual images to further illustrate their interpretation the plays to an authentic audience (Castek, Dalton, & Grisham, 2012).

The affordances of Glogster in ELA suggest that as teachers think about multiple ways to use technology to transform student learning, they “must also consider how these apps mediate students’ self-perceptions and sense of agency” (Castek & Beach, 2013, p. 555). The findings in this study show that the students’ new media literacy skills are being developed not just within
the classroom, but in their real-lives/Third Spaces, too. “Third Spaces” is the combination of worlds that students inhabit, including outside school (first space) and in-school (second space) that are blended to create a third space in which their repertoires of practice from the first and second spaces inform each other to extend their learning (Gutiérrez, 2008). Rather than marginalizing students’ outside school literacy knowledge and proclivities, ELA teachers should embrace them as resources for teaching and learning.

**Implications and Conclusion**

The findings indicate that the role of ELA teachers in a contemporary classroom is to recognize high school students’ agency that they use for literacy practices. The findings suggest that after the teachers received some training in multimodal theory and practice, they taught students to use new media and modern social approaches to interpret *Romeo and Juliet* and *Macbeth*. The teachers increase their agency by using a multimodal approach to help students interpret the plays. The findings have important implications for policymakers, schools, teachers, and researchers.

**Policymakers**

The findings in this study shows that a multimodal pedagogy allows teachers to help students use multiple interpretative perspectives and different modalities for reading complex texts such as Shakespeare’s plays. The findings suggest that one-size-fits-all approach to ELA instruction has become obsolete and irrelevant to the knowledge and skills that students need to participate in today’s global knowledge economy, education, and everyday literacy practices (Jewitt, 2013). Hence, policymakers may have to rethink policies and educational standards (e.g., tests) that prioritize the traditional vertical, print-centric, hierarchical top-down approaches to ELA instruction. What will be beneficial to students is the policy that recognizes the shifting world
where knowledge is disperse, horizontal, more open, and participatory and allow teachers to incorporate multimodal approaches that provide exposure to “the cosmopolitan experience of cultural epistemological differences so integral to the contemporary world” (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009, p. 188). A multimodal approach takes as the starting point for learning the students’ value for how they engage with and think about their own literacies and promotes alternative forms of engagement with their literacy worlds, interests, experiences, motivations, and identities.

**High Schools**

There is a need for schools to provide continuing professional development (similar to the intervention in this study) to help ELA teachers acquire the knowledge and skills to teach multimodal theories and practices. In addition, schools should ensure that ELA teachers and students have access to networked computers and websites that show contemporary approaches to textual interpretation such as Shakespeare steampunk, and Fanfiction and subscribe to relevant web apps such as Glogster, Webspiration, Visio for the PC, Phoster, Pinterest, Quark DesignPad, iFontmaker, Postcrosing, LivingSocial, etc.

**ELA Teachers**

ELA teachers may need to learn more about new media knowledge that students bring to the classroom. Gardner and Davis (2013) argue, “There can be little doubt that apps and digital media technologies have altered the landscape of imaginative expression. They’ve affected virtually every facet of the creative process, encompassing who can be a creator, what can be created, and how creations come into being and find an audience” (p. 122). Teachers can leverage students’ new media literacies to provide “safe” approaches that bridge the gap between learners’ interests and the traditional, standards-based curricula of market-oriented economy.

**ELA Researchers**
The field of multimodal theories and practices is still developing (Jewitt, 2013). Hence, there is a need for more research to understand students’ motivations for using different modes and media for literacy practices in ways that their agency – proclivity, repertoires of practice and resources – is at the center of instruction. Also, there is a need for more research on how the affordances of specific apps can be integrated into ELA classrooms in ways that can best enhance students’ learning.
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Appendix A: Interview Questions for the Teachers

1. In what ways, if any, do the websites provide you innovative approaches to teach in this class?
2. How do the websites equip you, if any, to help your students expand their interpretation of the play?
3. How do the approaches in this course help you, if any, link instruction to your students’ interests and resources?
4. In what ways, if any, do the approaches help you draw upon literacies that students bring from home/community?
5. How do the approaches, if any, allow you to capture students’ enthusiasm and motivation?
6. What are the advantages (if any) of teaching students to integrate modes/media to interpret Shakespeare’s plays?
7. What constraints do you face in using multimodal and media resources to teach in this course?
8. What professional development/training do you like the school to provide to help you integrate modal/media resources into ELA instruction?
9. Comment on any aspects of the approaches you use in this course.

Appendix B: Interview Questions for the Students

1. In what ways, if any, do the websites help you recreate the play?
2. In what ways, if any, do the websites you visited contribute to your interpretation of the play?
3. How do approaches in this course help you, if any, connect interpretation of Shakespeare’s play into your interests?
4. Why did you draw this poster? What is the message of it?
5. In what ways, if any, do the approaches make literary interpretation interesting for you?
6. How do the approaches in this course, if any, enhance your creativity and imagination?
7. What kind of literary skills and knowledge, if any, do the apps help you acquire?
8. How do the apps, if any, help you present your interpretation of the play to your classmates?
9. Comment on any aspects of instruction in this class.